#### **Description:**

The purpose of Administrative Services is to develop non-program specific division policies, legislation, rules, and regulations including those that sustain the State's delegated authority over permitting and regulatory programs; promotes public understanding of major environmental issues and solicits public input in environmental priority setting; and services DEQ internal support needs.

#### **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Fiscal Office.
  - A. Percent of procurement actions (minor) completed within 30 days.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		90%	95%
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
95%	95%	95%	95%

B. Percent of procurement actions (major) completed within 60 days.

	Actual F	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		100%	88%
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
90%	90%	90%	90%

C. Percent of grant actions completed on schedule.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		100%	95%
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
100%	100%	100%	100%

D. Percent of accounting actions completed within 10 days.

Actual Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001	
		100%	85%	
	Projecte	ed Results		
2002	2003	2004	2005	
90%	90%	95%	95%	

- 2. 2. Computer Services.
  - A. Total number of computers maintained (including INEEL-OP and AG's office) \*Includes servers, printers.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		500	519
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
550			

B. Percent of time support was rendered in less than one day.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
100%	100%	100%	100%

### 3. Community Affairs.

A. Percent of Public Records Requests completed within 3 days.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		90%	95%
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Percent of customers satisfied with DEQ services.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		90%	98%
<u> </u>	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
100%	100%	100%	100%

#### 4. Human Resources.

A. Average vacancy rate to be no more than 5%.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
4%	3%	7%	4.2%
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
4%	4%	4%	4%

B. Average time from vacancy to fill will be 30 days.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
93 days	71 days	48 days	56 days
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
40 days	35 days	32 days	30 days

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

Administrative Services is organized to support core agency functions under direction of the agency's Chief of Staff. These major administrative support functional units include: Fiscal Office; Human Resources Office; Network Integration Team; Customer Resources Team; and Facilities Team. These groups provide centralized transparent and seamless administration services with a major emphasis on enhanced customer service approaches, simplified processes, and results.

For more information contact Jon Sandoval at 373-0240.

#### **Description:**

The purpose of the Air Quality Program is to protect Idaho's air quality resources by executing an integrated approach to air quality management through the use of air sheds. The air shed approach integrates community involvement and a scientific approach to decision making. It supports and is in turn supported by ambient monitoring, realistic and effective permits, as well as appropriate regulations, and necessary enforcement.

#### **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Airshed Management.
  - A. Treasure Valley Airshed Management major milestones

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		13	12
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
4	2	1	1

B. Portneuf Valley Airshed Management major milestones

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		5	12
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
2	2	4	1

C. Clearwater Valley Airshed Management major milestones.

	Actual	Results			
1998	1999	2000	2001		
		0	1		
	Projected	d Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
2	1	1	1		

- 2. Air Quality Monitoring.
  - A. Ambient air quality monitoring sites operated and maintained.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
		55	64
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
67	66	65	65

- 3. Permitting. \*
  - A. Number of permits to construct issued.

	Actual Results					
1998	1999	2000	2001			
68	78	112	74			
	Projecte	d Results				
2002	2003	2004	2005			
105	80	100	100			

# **Environmental Quality, Dept. of Air Quality**

# B. Number of operating permits issued.

	Actual F	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
4	8	3	13
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
15	20	25	25

# C. Number of Title V permits issued.

	Actual F	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
0	0	0	14
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
25	20	15	15

### 4. Compliance assurance.

# A. Percent of facilities in compliance.

	Actual Results					
1998	1999	2000	2001			
65%	60%	58%	68%			
	Projected	Results				
2002	2003	2004	2005			
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

Economic growth and energy supply concerns in Idaho resulted in a corresponding rise in the air quality permitting needs of the regulated community. Energy related projects expedited by the Agency under the Governor's Directive accounted for a significant diversion of permitting resources in FY01. Due to this trend, during FY01, the resources available to the DEQ air quality permit program did not match the level of permit applications received. DEQ was unable to process all pending permit actions. Thirty-two permit-to-construct applications were backlogged during FY01, and four pending Tier II Operating Permits also went unaddressed at the close of the fiscal year. DEQ is seeking to streamline the permitting process, to ensure better internal efficiency. Also, a rulemaking is underway to adopt permit fees for Permits to Construct and Tier II Operating Permits to better match the available level of funding to the needs of the regulated community.

Fourteen Title V Operating Permits were issued during FY01. The schedule for issuing permits in response to the remaining pending applications has been revised. It is anticipated that the current backlog of Title V permit applications will be addressed by the close of FY03.

Air quality in Idaho has improved steadily since 1991. For much of Idaho this trend continued through 200. However, air quality monitors in the western part of the Treasure Valley Air shed detected air pollution accumulations above the 24-hour ambient air quality standard for fine particulate matter (PM2.5). These pollution levels were not recorded in Boise during that time period indicating that localized sources in the western portion of the Valley are impacting air quality.

DEQ is moving forward to implement air shed management to address problems cumulatively and consider downward air quality trends proactively. The approach is designed to deal with existing air pollution problems and prevent future problems. Air pollution sources being considered include those related to growth, industry and transportation. The focus of current DEQ air shed management activities is in the Treasure Valley, Protneuf Valley and Clearwater air sheds.

DEQ has sought to increase public access to daily air pollution levels by increasing the number of real time monitors throughout Idaho. Monitoring has been initiated in McCall, Idaho City, Salmon, Grangeville, Garden Valley, Lewiston, Pocatello, Nampa, Idaho Falls and Rupert. This information is readily available to Idaho citizens through DEQ's website and regional offices.

For more information contact Kate Kelly at 373-0445.

# **Environmental Quality, Dept. of Water Quality Division**

#### **Description:**

The Water Quality Division ensures the quality of both Idaho's ground water and surface water. The Water Quality Division consists of several areas which include: drinking water, ground water, surface water, loans, and wastewater.

### **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Watershed management.
  - A. Number of water bodies (sites) monitored and assessed.

	Actual Results					
1998	1999	2000	2001			
N/A	N/A	267	510			
	Projected	d Results				
2002	2003	2004	2005			
350	350	350	350			

#### B. Number of TMDLs completed.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
3	5	10	9
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
10	9	11	7

#### 2. Drinking water program.

A. Number and percent of public drinking water systems in substantial compliance.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
N/A	N/A	2,085/97.7%	2,087/98%
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
2,090/92%	2,095/93%	2,100/95%	2100/95%

#### 3. Ground water program.

A. Number of ground water protection plans completed to address or prevent identified problems.\*

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
N/A	N/A	150	12
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
25	35	50	65

#### B. Number of source water assessments completed.\*\*

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
N/A	N/A	11	1015
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
852	1085	45	35

#### 4. Wastewater program.

A. Percent of inspected waste water facilities in substantial compliance.

	Actual Results					
1998	1999	2000	2001			
N/A	N/A	88%	87%			
	Projected	d Results				
2002	2003	2004	2005			
80%	80%	80%	80%			

#### 5. Loan program.

A. Number of drinking water and wastewater loans and grants awarded.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
N/A	N/A	7/0	6/1
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
27/30	27/30	30/30	30/30

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

Protection of human health and the biological integrity of our waters is the cornerstone of the DEQ Water Quality Division. The Water Quality Division Programs assess surface water and ground water sites around the state to identify areas not meeting beneficial uses or Idaho Water Quality Standards. The Water Quality Division also ensures delivery of safe drinking water, provides financing for drinking water and wastewater infrastructure, and ensures adequate wastewater treatment. These efforts help the Water Programs establish strategies and prioritize activities to protect and/or remediate areas of concern in order to maintain or improve water quality and support beneficial uses. The net effect is improved water quality throughout the State.

For more information contact David Mabe at 373-0194.

<sup>\*</sup> In 2001 the definition of a ground water protection plan was revised to include only state certified source water protection plans which involves a more formal process.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The number of source water assessments recorded and tracked are reported as drinking water sources as opposed to systems.

# Environmental Quality, Dept. of

### **Waste Management & Remediation**

#### **Description:**

The Waste Management and Remediation Division is divided into three groups consisting of Waste Management, Remediation and Mine Waste.

#### **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Improve water quality in the Coeur d'Alene River Basin.
  - A. Number of acres in which corrective measures have been completed.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
1,373	41	513	604
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
121	89	89	120

B. Number of site-specific cleanups under way in high metal loading source areas.

	Actual F	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
13	11	13	5
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
10	4	4	7

C. Settlement of the NRD Lawsuits.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			2 of 4 Settled
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
ettlement or court judgemen			

- 2. Continue to implement a program to ensure proper management and disposal of waste.
  - A. Number of solid waste landfill inspections.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
N/A	3	4	3
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
66	66	69	70

B. Percentage of inspected facilities in substantial compliance with hazardous waste requirements.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
N/A	N/A	67%	70.7%
·	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
75%	78%	80%	82%

- 3. Inventory, prioritize and ensure the cleanup of contaminated sites.
  - A. Develop and maintain a comprehensive, publicly accessible database for contaminated sites.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
N/A	N/A	Under Development	Developed to Date
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
Post on WEB	Update	Update	Update

B. Percentage of UST sites in compliance with UST rules.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
17.40%	41.50%	56.10%	66%
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
76%	81%	85%	87%

C. Percentage of LUST sites cleaned up.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
74.50%	73.20%	76.10%	79%
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
83%	85%	86%	88%

- 4. Reduce impacts of Phosphate mining in Eastern Idaho.
  - A. Number of mine sites undergoing cleanup.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
			1 (Pilot)
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
2 (Pilots)	2	5	8

B. Establish area wide remedial action objectives, remediation goals and risk based cleanup levels for selenium and other contaminants of concern that will be protective of human health and the environment.

	Actual Res	ults	
1998	1999	2000	2001
	Projected Re	sults	
2002	2003	2004	2005
In progess	to be Completed 10/02		

# **Environmental Quality, Dept. of Waste Management & Remediation**

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

The Waste Management and Remediation Division is divided into three groups consisting of Waste Management, Remediation and Mine Waste. Waste Management's focus is on ensuring solid wastes that are generated in or entering Idaho are managed and disposed in a manner protective of human health and the environment. The Remediation group's primary focus is responding to existing releases of hazardous substances to surface waters, ground waters or soils. The group is working to inventory and prioritize all known contaminated sites in Idaho and to catalog and maintain the location and status of the sites in a comprehensive, publicly accessible database. The Mine Waste group will continue to work with the active mines to ensure best management practices are followed and bonding and closure requirements are met. Additionally, we will be working to address environmental problems associated with inactive mines with a goal towards prioritizing those efforts and maintaining a strong DEQ presence in mine cleanups.

For more information contact Orville Green at 373-0445.

#### **Description:**

The primary responsibility of the INEEL Oversight Program is to independently monitor and vigilantly oversee INEEL current and future operations and DOE transportation of radiological materials along transportation corridors to assure those activities are protective of public health and the land, air, water, and wildlife of Idaho; and provide Idahoans and their elected officials independent, factual analysis of INEEL activities.

# **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Impact Assessments.
  - A. Number of DOE NEPA documents reviewed.

	Actual F	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
7	4	7	19
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
6	6	6	6

B. Number of impact assessments completed.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
4	6	13	46
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
10	10	10	10

C. Number of DOE/INEEL operations monitored.

Actual Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001	
60	20	16	36	
	Projected	d Results		
2002	2003	2004	2005	
25	25	25	25	

- 2. Environmental Surveillance.
  - A. Number of water samples analyzed.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
609	631	143	890
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
850	850	850	850

B. Number of air samples analyzed.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
1,720	1,762	1,312	1,472
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400

C. Number of milk samples analyzed.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
72	73	80	71
	Projected	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
72	72	72	72

D. Number of miscellaneous samples analyzed (soil, biota).

	Actual F	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
130	58	1,023	90
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
100	100	100	100

- 3. Radiological Emergency Response.
  - A. Number of incidents responded to.

	Actual I	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
5	12	10	4
	Projected	Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
10	10	10	10

B. Number of counties receiving planning assistance.

	Actual	Results				
1998	1999	2000	2001			
11	14	14	15			
	Projected	d Results				
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005					
15	15	15	15			

C. Number of radiological emergency exercises conducted.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
2	15	13	18
	Projected	l Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
15	15	15	15

D. Number of Interagency Planning Group meetings conducted.

	Actual	Results			
1998	1999	2000	2001		
4	3	8	11		
	Projected	d Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
8	8	8	8		

#### 4. Public Information.

A. Number of impact assessment reports published.

	Actual	Results			
1998	1999	2000	2001		
3	3	17	2		
	Projected	d Results			
2002	2002 2003 2004 2005				
2	2	2			

B. Number of environmental surveillance reports published.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
5	5	12	5
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
5	5	5	5

C. Number of annual/semi-annual reports published.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
2	2	2	5
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
5	5	5	5

D. Number of newsletters published.

	Actual	Results	
1998	1999	2000	2001
6	6	5	4
	Projecte	d Results	
2002	2003	2004	2005
6	6	6	6

E. Number of public presentations, exhibits, meetings conducted.

Actual Results					
1998	1999	2000	2001		
20	24	17	25		
	Projected	l Results			
2002	2003	2004	2005		
25	25	25	25		

# **Environmental Quality, Dept. of INEEL Oversight**

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

- 1) Oversees DOE's implementation of 1995 court settlement with the State of Idaho. DOE and the Navy have met settlement deadlines to date.
- 2) Oversee and coordinate state agency activities concerning INEEL and DOE transportation of nuclear wastes and materials. INEEL and DOE transportation activities along INEEL and interstate corridors were performed safely and uneventful.
- 3) Perform environmental monitoring on and around the INEEL. Results remain consistent with historical trends and show no significant impacts on human health or the environment.
- 4) Coordinate topical studies and assessments of potential impacts of INEEL activities (e.g., evaluation of DOE hazards assessments for current and future INEEL facilities, facility and equipment design). Program studies and assessments continue to prompt improvements to DOE facilities and operations.
- 5) Provide independent, thorough, factual analysis of environmental and public health features and impacts of all present and proposed INEEL and DOE activities affecting Idahoans. Maintained an up-to-date Website and distributed numerous topical newsletters, fact sheets, and analytical reports concerning INEEL projects and activities affecting Idahoans.

For more information contact Craig Halverson at 373-0442.